

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/622,843	07/18/2003	Harold Wiesmann	BSA 03-01	4758
26302	7590 07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
BROOKHAVEN SCIENCE ASSOCIATES/			TALBOT, BRIAN K	
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY BLDG. 475D - P.O. BOX 5000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
UPTON, NY 11973		1762		

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 10/622.843 WIESMANN ET AL. Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner **Art Unit** Brian K. Talbot 1762 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔀 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.

was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u>. Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u>. Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26,57 and 58</u>.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Krall 7/15/06

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1762 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Finally rejected claims did not require the precursor or fluorinated precursor not to be superconductive. The prior art teaches applying superconductive precursors and fluorinating them to produce the fluorinated superconductor while the present proposed claims require applying a non-superconductive precursor and fluorinating. The proposed claims appear to overcome the art of record absent further consideration and/or search necessitated by the proposal.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment to the specification is noted and would be considered and entered upon a subsequent submission which is entered.

2